PHILIPPINE RETIREMENT AUTHORITY 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation

| | | Component | | | | PRetA Sub | omission | GCG Val | idation | Supporting | CCC Demoster |
|---------------------|--|---------------------|----------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|---------|---|---|
| Obj | ective/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | GCG Remarks |
| sc | 0 1 Generate Investme | ent and Foreig | n Exchan | | | | | | | | |
| SOCIAL IMPACT WS | Annual Foreign Currency Generated from Visa Deposit | Net Visa Deposit | 10% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | \$51.45 Million | \$63.50 Million | 10.00% | \$57.00 Million | 10% | COA Annual Audit Report for the year ended 31 December 2017 Summary Report on Net Visa Deposit for 2017 Summary Report on DBP New Placements List of Accounts Deposited in 2017 and Withdrawn in 2017 with DBP Summary Report on Total Amount of Private Banks' Contribution 2017 | PRetA exceeded the target. Under the 201 COA Audited Report, showed that visa deposit reached \$57.00 Million in 2017. |

P R e t A | 2 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | | Component | | | | PRetA Sub | mission | GCG Vali | Validation Supporting | | |
|--------------|---------|---|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|---------|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Objecti | ve/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | GCG Remarks |
| * | SM 2 | Establish a System that will Monitor the Actual Expenditures of Retirees (spend on conversion, household, services, medical, etc.) | Actual Accomplish- ment | 5% | All or Nothing | Establish Baseline | Baseline Established | 5.00% | Baseline Established | 5.00% | • Analysis report of the results to the survey conducted by PRetA's Corporate Planning Department namely, the REMS ¹ . | Acceptable. |
| | | | Sub-total | 15% | | | | 15.00% | | 15.00% | | |
| Coge | SO 2 | Retirees with Excel | lent PRetA Expe | rience | | | | | | | | |
| STAKEHOLDERS | SM 3 | Customer Satisfaction Rating conducted by 3 rd Party Consultant | Actual Accomplish- ment | 10% | All or Nothing | Satisfactory or its Equivalent Percentage ² | Satisfactory | 10.00% | Satisfactory 81.1% of respondents gave at least Satisfactory rating (score of 6) | 10.00% | Final Report on the Conduct of Customer Satisfaction Survey for the PRetA submitted by Sustainable Development Solutions | While PRetA was required to follow the prescribed rating scale, the use of 10-point rating scale remains relevant and acceptable. Based on the report submitted and using a weighted average, 81.1% of the respondents were satisfied with the service they received from PRetA. Out of the 1,637 respondents, 1,387 gave a rating of at least 6 (Somewhat Satisfied). |

15:50

¹ Retiree Expenditure Monitoring System ² Using 5-point rating scale provided by GCG.

P R e t A | 3 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | Component | | | | PRetA Submission | | | dation | Supporting | GCG Remarks |
|---------|---|---|-------------|---------------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|---|---|
| Objecti | ive/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | GCG Remarks |
| SO 3 | Increase Total En | rollees | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 4 | Net Enrollment for the Year | Net Enrollment at the End of Rating Period = Gross Enrollment – Cancellation for the year | 10% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | 5,675 | 4,779 | 8.42% | Report cannot be validated | 0% | COA Annual Audit Report Yearly SRRV Enrollment and Cancellation Report Monthly Enrollment and Cancellation of PRA Retirees Report Gross SRRV Enrollees for 2017 Report | Upon validation of the supporting documents submitted, reports reflected varying numbers. The inconsistencies puts a doubt on the validity and accuracy of the reported accomplishment as well as the supporting documents submitted. Hence, PRetA is given an automatic score of 0%. |
| SO 4 | Increase Number | and Productivi | ity of Indu | stry Partners | | | | | | | |
| SM 5 | Increase Number of merchant partners | Absolute Number | 10% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | 25 | 28 | 10.00% | 28 | 8.00% | List of Accredited Merchant Partners as of December 2017 from the Marketing Department Certificates of Accreditation | Only 28 Accredited Merchant Partners had Certificates of Accreditation issued by PRetA. |

P R e t A | 4 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | | Component | | | | PRetA Sub | omission | GCG Val | Supporting | | |
|----|--------|--|--------------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------|------------|---|--|
| | Object | ive/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | GCG Remarks |
| | SM 6 | Number of retirees availing of the merchant partner's services | Absolute Number | 5% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | Establish baseline | Baseline Established | 5.00% | 0 | 0% | • Report from Marketing Department re processed Statement of Accounts from Merchant Partners | PRetA reported to have established a baseline of 1,916 retirees availing the services of Sacred Heart Diagnostic Medical Center and Sunshine Place Recreation Center. However, upon validation, the reported merchants were not accredited partners of PRetA in 2017. Hence, the reported "establish baseline" is cannot be considered. |
| 18 | | | Sub-total | 35% | | | | 33.42% | | 18.00% | | |

P R e t A | 5 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | | Component | | | | PRetA Sub | omission | GCG Validation | | Supporting | |
|-----------|--------|----------------------|---|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Object | ive/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | |
| | SO 5 | Maintain Financia | al Viability | | | | | | | | | |
| FINANCIAL | SM 7 | Revenue Generated | Gross Income from Operations + Interest Income from VISA Deposits | 10% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | ₽773.67 Million | ₽773.42 Million | 9.99% | ₽773.42 Million | 9.99% | COA Annual Audit Report Unaudited Statement of Income and Expenses as of 31 December 2017 | Acceptable. While revenues for 2017 shows a 16.36% increase compared to 2016, it should be noted that COA observed that the income for 2017 was overstated by P9.988 Million due to the use of cash basis, instead of accrual, in the recognition of income. While there is such an observation, GCG recommends the recognition of the revenues as reflected in the audited Income Statement as accomplishment of PRetA for 2017. PRetA is hereby required to adhere to the recommendations of COA to adopt the accrual method in its recognition of revenue |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | and to adjust/restate the 2017 financial statement as necessary. Should be reflected in its 2018 financial statements. |

P R e t A | 6 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | | Component | | | | PRetA Sub | mission | GCG Vali | dation | Supporting | |
|------------------|---------|---|--|----------|---|-----------------|--------------------|---------|----------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| | Objecti | ive/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | GCG Remarks |
| AL. | SO 6 | Maximize Reven | ues | | | | | | | | | |
| | SM 8 | Net Operating Income | Revenues + Interest Income from Visa Deposit – Operating Expenses | 10% | 10% - P 470 Million and above; 5% - P338.74 Million to P469 Million; 0% - Less than P338 Million | ₽338 Million | ₽513.77 Million | 10.00% | ₽513.77 Million | 10.00% | COA Annual Audit Report Unaudited Statement of Income and Expenses as of 31 December 2017 | Acceptable. |
| | | | Sub-total | 20% | | | | 19.99% | | 19.99% | | |
| | SO 7 | Intensify Marketi | ng and Promoti | on Camp | aign | | | | | | | |
| INTERNAL PROCESS | SM 9 | Return on Marketing Expense ³ | Passport and Visa or Application Fees / Total Marketing Expense | 5% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | 1017% | 378% | 1.86% | 203% | 1.00% | • COA Annual Audit Report | The decline in the return on marketing expense can be attributed to the significant increase in marketing expenses at 65% coupled with a meager increase in passport/visa application of only 11.5%. |
| RNA | SO 8 | Improved Market | ing Process | C. Salar | | | | | | | | |
| INTE | SM 10 | Increase in the number of walk- in Principal Member with approved applications (principal only) | Absolute Number | 5% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | 677 | 552 | 4.08% | Report cannot be validated | 0.00% | Gross SRRV Enrollees for 2017 Monthly Enrollment and Cancellation | Supporting document is the same as the submission for SM 4. Actual accomplishment cannot be objectively validated due to inconsistencies on the |

³ Includes advertising and travelling expenses, per diem and marketer's fee.

P R e t A | 7 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | Component | | | | PRetA Sub | mission | GCG Val | idation | Supporting | |
|-------|--|--|----|---|---|--|----------------|----------------------------------|---------|--|---|
| Objec | tive/Measure | Formula Weight | | ght Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | GCG Remarks |
| | | | | | | | | | | of PRA Retirees Report | report and supporting documents submitted. |
| SO 9 | After-Enrollment | Services | | | | | | | | | |
| SM 11 | Average turnaround time in processing ID renewal ⁴ | Average no. of processing days upon receipt of complete documents up to the release of ID | 5% | [1-(Actual- Target) / Target] x Weight | Walk-in Applicant – Same day From Satellite Office – within 7 working days | Walk-in Applicant = Within the Day From Satellite Office = 10 working days | 4.50% 0.29% | Report cannot be validated | 0.00% | ID Renewal Report FY 2017 for Head and Satellite Offices List of transactions processed in the Head Office and Satellite Office Sample transaction documents | PRetA, reported a total of 793 applications received from the Satellite Offices which were processed within an average of 9.180 working days and the Head Office received a total of 7,460 requests all processed within the same day. Supporting documents such as the application and payment for ID were requested for a selected sample size of 30. Based on the evaluation of the supporting documents, only 15 transactions were able to match with the report of PRetA. The reliability and validity of the report and supporting documents submitted were questionable, posing difficulty on the part of |

⁴ From submission of complete documents up to the release of ID

P R e t A | 8 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

P R e t A | 9 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | | Component | nija iz bez zakone me | | | PRetA Sub | mission | GCG Vali | dation | Supporting | |
|---------------------|---------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---------|--|--------|---|---|
| | Objecti | ve/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Documents | GCG Remarks |
| the second of the | SM 12 | Increase local points of accessibility of retirees to PRetA's post- enrollment services | Actual Accomplish- ment | 5% | (Actual/ Target) x Weight | Establish helpdesk or points of accessibility in 10 LGUs with agreement with PRetA ⁷ | 7 LGUs Province of Aklan Roxas, Isabela City of Tagaytay Bayambang, Pangasinan Province of Rizal Angeles City, Pampanga Castillejos, Zambales | 3.50% | | 0.00% | Sanggunian Resolutions of the Municipality of Castillejos, Municipality of Bayambang, Province of Aklan Certificates of Recognition awarded to LGUs. | PRetA reported that they partnered with seven (7) LGUs, however, upon validation, there were no agreements made relating to the target. No helpdesk or accessibility points indicated in the submitted resolutions /certifications. No additional documents were submitted to support the target. |
| | | | Sub-total | 20% | | | | 14.23% | | 1.00% | | |
| n H | SO 10 | Quality Managen | | | | | | | | | | |
| LEARNING AND GROWTH | SM 13 | ISO 9001 Accreditation | Actual Accomplish- ment | 5% | All or Nothing | ISO 9001:2008 Certification, all sites all processes | ISO 9001:2008 Certification, all sites, all processes | 5% | ISO Certificates issued on 12 April 2018 | 0.00% | ISO 9001:2008 Registration Certificate of PRetA | This measure was set to be accomplished in 2017, however, the ISO 9001:2008 certification of PRetA was only obtained in 12 April 2018 with the Management Review |

⁷ 1) Boracay; 2) Palawan; 3) Laoag; 4) Bohol; 5) Cagayan de Oro; 6) Ilagan, Isabela; 7) Dumaguete; 8) Camarines Sur; 9) Tacloban; and 10) Taboc City, Kalinga*

1-

P R e t A | 10 of 10 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation (Annex A)

| | | Component | | | | PRetA Sul | omission | GCG Val | idation | 0 | |
|-------|---|-------------------------------|--------|-------------------|---|-------------------------|----------|-------------------------|---------|---|--|
| Objec | ctive/Measure | Formula | Weight | Rating Scale | Target | Actual | Rating | Actual | Rating | Supporting Documents | |
| | | | | | | | | | | • Minutes of Management Review | held only on 15 Januar 2018. |
| SO 11 | Improve Compete | ency of the Wor | kforce | | | | | | | | |
| SM 14 | Development of Board-approved Competency Framework | Actual Accomplish- ment | 5% | All or Nothing | Implemen- tation of Compete- ncy Model and Establish- ment of Baseline | Baseline established | 5% | Baseline established | 5.00% | Terminal Report and Outputs submitted by Clearvision Outsourcing | Acceptable. PRetA was able to exceed the target by determining the competency baseline of individual employees in the organization. Further PRetA was able to come up with a three-year plan to address the competency gaps identified. |
| | | Sub-total | 10% | | | | 10% | | 5.00% | | |
| | | TOTAL | 100% | | | | 93.90% | | 58.99% | | |